



The HubSpot-Marketo Playbook

Lead Scoring Alignment for Sales & Marketing

The Scoring Disconnect

Your marketing team marks a lead "hot" in Marketo. It scores 85 points. Marketing says it's ready for sales. Your sales team pulls the list into HubSpot and sees the same lead is actually cold, no engagement in 30 days, visited only pricing pages, never opened a product demo email.

One system says "call immediately." The other says "not ready." Your sales rep makes the call anyway and gets a "not interested." Your marketing team says the scoring model is wrong. Your sales team says marketing doesn't understand their buyers. Nobody wins.

This isn't a scoring problem. It's a data problem. When HubSpot and Marketo don't share the same lead information with engagement data, behavioral signals, lifecycle stage that they score the same person two different ways. Sales chases leads that aren't ready. Marketing doesn't know why their "hot" leads convert to cold calls. Both teams waste time. Revenue suffers.

Significant

Sales time wasted on misscored leads

When lead scoring isn't aligned across marketing and sales systems, reps spend time on unqualified leads

Why the Scoring Gap Exists

Most B2B companies using HubSpot and Marketo have two separate lead management worlds. Marketing owns the lead in Marketo. Sales owns the deal in HubSpot. Here's what actually happens:

The Real Problems

- **Different engagement data:** Marketo tracks email opens, clicks, and Marketo-specific activities. HubSpot tracks website visits, form submissions, and deal interactions. Each system scores based only on what it can see, missing half the picture.
- **Lifecycle stage mismatches:** A contact is "MQL" in Marketo but HubSpot doesn't know. Sales treats them as a cold prospect. Marketing thinks sales is ignoring their best leads.
- **Behavioral scoring conflicts:** Marketo scores based on email engagement and content downloads. HubSpot scores based on sales conversations and deal movement. Same lead, two conflicting scores.
- **Lead status sync failures:** A contact converts to a customer in HubSpot. Marketo still thinks they're an MQL. Marketing keeps sending lead nurture campaigns to customers.
- **Manual score adjustments:** Sales reps manually override scores in HubSpot because they don't match Marketo. Now you have no consistent scoring model at all.
- **Lost lead context:** HubSpot doesn't see Marketo activity history. Sales doesn't know what content the lead consumed, what emails they opened, or how long they've been in the nurture campaign.

The Business Ripple

Problem	Business Impact
Sales calls leads that aren't ready	High call rejection rate. Sales dials more numbers to hit quota. Cost per conversation increases. Morale drops.
Marketing doesn't know why "hot" leads don't convert	Scoring model stays broken. Marketing keeps generating "hot" leads that sales ignores. Budget wasted on ineffective lead generation.
Lifecycle stage confusion	MQLs get lost. SQLs don't exist. Marketing and sales use different definitions. Reporting becomes unreliable. No one knows what stage a lead is actually in.
Leads get nursed past conversion	Marketing spends months nurturing a lead that already converted in HubSpot. Duplicate touches annoy customers. Wasted email sends and budget.
No single source of truth	Discrepancies between systems. Conflicting reports. Data integrity questions. Teams argue about whose system is right.

What Data Actually Needs to Sync

Not every Marketo activity needs to live in HubSpot, but these critical lead attributes must stay synchronized for accurate scoring:

Engagement & Activity Data

- **Email engagement from Marketo:** Tracked opens, clicks, unsubscribes, program clicks. Sync these to HubSpot so sales sees the complete engagement picture.
- **Content consumption:** Whitepaper downloads, demo video watches, case study views. Indicates buying signal strength.
- **Website behavior from HubSpot:** Page visits, form submissions, time on site. Share this back to Marketo so nurture campaigns respond to actual interest.
- **Marketo program membership:** Which nurture campaigns is this lead in? How long have they been engaged? Influences readiness assessment.

- **Campaign response history:** Which emails got opened? Which got ignored? What was the last engagement date?

Lifecycle & Stage Data

- **Lead lifecycle stage:** Prospect → MQL → SQL → Opportunity → Customer. Both systems need to know the current stage.
- **Marketo MQL date:** When did the lead become marketing qualified? Sales needs to know how long this person has been "hot."
- **HubSpot lifecycle stage updates:** When a lead becomes an opportunity or customer in HubSpot, Marketo needs to know immediately to stop nurturing.
- **Lead status and reason:** Is this lead "active," "nurturing," "recycled," or "disqualified"? Why? Shared understanding prevents duplicate work.

Behavioral & Scoring Data

- **Marketo lead score:** The behavioral score from Marketo. Sync to HubSpot so sales understands marketing's assessment.
- **HubSpot lead score:** Sales interaction score from HubSpot. Sync back to Marketo so nurture campaigns know how active sales is.
- **Company/Account attributes:** Company size, industry, revenue range. Both systems should score based on account fit the same way.
- **Explicit engagement signals:** Attended webinar, requested demo, viewed pricing. Both systems need to see and react to these signals.
- **Negative signals:** Unsubscribed, marked spam, said "not interested." Marketing should stop nurturing. Sales should update the record.

The Sync Principle

Sync all engagement and lifecycle data that changes how a lead should be treated. If marketing is nurturing based on signals that sales has already disproven, or sales is calling leads that marketing knows aren't ready, data didn't sync properly.

The Unified Lead Scoring Framework

Building aligned lead scoring between Marketo and HubSpot requires a specific approach. Here's what actually works:

Layer 1: Shared Engagement Data (The Foundation)

Both systems must see the same engagement picture. Marketing activity alone doesn't reflect buying intent. Sales activity alone doesn't reflect readiness. Together, they tell the truth.

- Sync Marketo email engagement (opens, clicks) to HubSpot so sales sees the complete timeline
- Sync HubSpot website activity and form submissions back to Marketo so campaigns react to real buying signals
- Create a unified activity log: One place to see what this lead has done across both systems
- Timestamp all activity: When did they engage? How recent is the signal? (Recency matters.)

Layer 2: Consistent Lifecycle Stages (The Agreement)

Both systems must use the same lead lifecycle definitions. An MQL in Marketo must be an MQL in HubSpot. No exceptions, no manual overrides.

- Define your stages: Prospect → MQL → SQL → Opportunity → Customer (or your own model)
- Marketo stage: Set automatically based on engagement criteria (opened X emails, downloaded whitepaper, etc.)
- HubSpot stage: Set automatically based on sales activity (created deal, had meeting, etc.) and syncs back to Marketo
- Transition rules: Clear criteria for when a lead moves from one stage to the next
- Sync frequency: Real-time (or at least hourly) so neither system is ever more than minutes behind

Layer 3: Unified Scoring Model (The Score)

One lead score. Two systems. Same number. No conflicts.

- Behavioral score: Points for engagement activities (email opens, content downloads, web activity)
- Fit score: Points for company attributes (company size, industry, revenue match)
- Interaction score: Points for sales activity (meetings, calls, deal movement)
- Marketo calculates and owns the behavioral + fit score (marketing perspective)
- HubSpot receives that score and adds interaction score (sales perspective)
- Combined score = total readiness. Both systems see it. No arguments.

Layer 4: Automated Stage Progression & Lifecycle Rules

Leads move through stages automatically based on data, not gut feel.

- MQL criteria: In Marketo engagement program for X days + opened Y% of emails + score above Z
- SQL criteria: Marketing says "ready" + sales has had at least one conversation + score is rising
- Opportunity criteria: Deal created in HubSpot with close probability > 0%
- Customer criteria: Deal won in HubSpot. Automatically update Marketo to stop nurturing.
- Recycle criteria: Deal lost or nurture paused. Move back to MQL. Re-engage with different content.

Example: The Sales Rep Experience Transforms

Before alignment: Rep calls an MQL from the Marketo list. Lead says "I'm not ready to buy." Rep hangs up. Rep never knows that this lead just started the nurture program yesterday. Rep thinks Marketo's scoring is broken. Rep doesn't trust the list anymore. Lead quality becomes a political argument.

After alignment: Rep sees the same lead in HubSpot. The system shows: "MQL as of 2 hours ago. Opened 3 of last 5 emails. Downloaded whitepaper yesterday. On day 2 of nurture program." Rep knows this lead is early-stage. Rep sends an educational email instead of a sales call. Nurture continues. 5 days later, the lead opens a demo booking email. Now the lead is SQL. Rep calls at the right time. Lead says "yes, I'm ready." Conversation happens. Rep trusts the scoring again.

How to Build This: 5-Step Implementation

Step 1: Audit Your Current Scoring (Week 1)

What you're doing: Understanding what's currently broken and why.

- Export 100 leads from each system (Marketo MQLs + HubSpot contacts)
- Compare: Which leads appear in both systems? What are their scores in each?
- Identify the conflicts: Where do scores differ by 50+ points? Why?
- Interview sales team: Which Marketo leads did they actually call? Which were wastes of time?
- Interview marketing team: What criteria do they use for MQL? Does sales agree with those criteria?
- Document current models: What factors does Marketo score on? What does HubSpot score on? How do they differ?

Step 2: Define Your Unified Scoring Model (Weeks 2-3)

What you're doing: Creating a single agreed-upon scoring framework.

- Align on MQL criteria: What makes a lead marketing-ready? (engagement threshold, content interaction, etc.)
- Align on SQL criteria: What makes a lead sales-ready? (engagement + sales conversation signal)
- Decide on scoring components: Behavioral (X points) + Fit (Y points) + Interaction (Z points) = Total
- Set point thresholds: MQL = 50+ points. SQL = 70+ points. Opportunity = meets both criteria + deal created.
- Define lifecycle stages: Map your stages across both systems exactly the same way
- Document the rules: Create a one-page "Scoring Rules Bible" that both teams agree on

Step 3: Build the Integration (Weeks 3-5)

What you're doing: Connecting Marketo and HubSpot so data flows both ways.

- **Marketo → HubSpot:** Sync MQL date, behavioral score, program membership, engagement activity
- **HubSpot → Marketo:** Sync lifecycle stage changes, deal creation, interaction score, sales activity
- **Activity syncing:** Set up real-time activity logging so both systems see when leads engage
- **Field mapping:** Create exact field matches (Marketo "Lead Score" = HubSpot "Marketo Lead Score")
- **Error handling:** What happens if a sync fails? How do you catch data conflicts?
- **Testing:** Create 20 test leads and verify they score identically in both systems

Step 4: Train Both Teams (Week 5)

What you're doing: Making sure sales and marketing understand and trust the new model.

- Train marketing on SQL criteria: They need to know when sales will actually work a lead
- Train sales on MQL criteria: They need to know why marketing marks certain leads as ready
- Train sales on HubSpot integration: Show them how to see Marketo activity and understand what it means
- Create a cheat sheet: "How to read the HubSpot lead record (with Marketo data)"
- Establish feedback loop: When sales calls an MQL that's not ready, how does that feedback get back to marketing?

Step 5: Monitor, Measure & Optimize (Week 5+)

What you're doing: Ensuring the model stays accurate over time.

- Track MQL-to-SQL conversion rate: Are Marketo MQLs actually becoming sales-qualified?
- Track SQL-to-Opportunity conversion: Are SQLs turning into deals?

- Track lead velocity: How long does a lead stay in each stage?
- Track sales feedback: Are sales rejecting leads? Mark as "not interested"? Update the model based on patterns.
- Review monthly: Did the scoring model work? Did sales and marketing agree? What changed?
- Adjust thresholds: If 60% of SQLs don't convert, raise the SQL threshold. If MQL-to-SQL is only 10%, lower it.

Mistakes That Sink HubSpot-Marketo Lead Scoring Alignment

Mistake 1: "One-way sync only"

Syncing Marketo scores to HubSpot but not syncing lifecycle stage changes and sales activity back to Marketo. Marketing keeps nurturing leads that are already customers.

Instead: "Bidirectional sync"

Marketo data flows to HubSpot. HubSpot data flows back to Marketo. When a lead becomes a customer in HubSpot, Marketo knows immediately and stops nurturing.

Mistake 2: "Hoping they'll agree by accident"

Leaving Marketo and HubSpot to score independently and assuming they'll somehow sync. They don't. Conflicts persist. Sales doesn't trust either score.

Instead: "Define one model both systems follow"

Create a single MQL/SQL definition that both Marketo and HubSpot use. Same criteria. Same thresholds. Same result. No surprises.

Mistake 3: "Ignore sales feedback on model accuracy"

Marketing defines MQL criteria in a vacuum. Sales gets the leads and says they're cold. But the model never changes. Same problem repeats forever.

Instead: "Treat it as a feedback loop"

Sales rejects leads. Marketing asks why. Was the MQL criteria wrong? Was the lead timing wrong? Update the model based on data, not opinions.

Mistake 4: "Different MQL definitions in each system"

Marketing says "MQL = opened 2 emails + downloaded whitepaper." Sales uses a different definition for their MQL field in HubSpot. The same lead gets two different labels.

Instead: "One definition, both systems"

Define MQL once. Both systems apply the same rules. A lead is either MQL or not, no ambiguity, no manual overrides, no arguing.

Mistake 5: "Manual score adjustments override everything"

Sales reps or managers manually change scores in HubSpot because they disagree with the automated score. Now you have no consistent model. Scoring becomes meaningless.

Instead: "Lock the model, change only if warranted"

Automated scoring is the rule. Manual overrides only happen with documented reason and are tracked. Model changes are reviewed quarterly based on conversion data, not opinion.

How We Approach This

Our Four-Step Smart Process

Step 1: Assessment & Audit (Week 1) We pull data from both systems and understand the current scoring misalignment. We interview sales and marketing to understand what's broken and why. We quantify the impact: How many leads are being wasted? What's the conversion rate difference?

Step 2: Model Design & Alignment (Weeks 2-3) We work with both teams to define a single, unified lead scoring model. We establish MQL and SQL criteria that both teams agree on. We document the "Scoring Rules Bible" so there's no ambiguity later.

Step 3: Integration & Testing (Weeks 3-5) We build the bidirectional integration between Marketo and HubSpot. We sync engagement data, lifecycle stages, and scores. We test with real lead scenarios to ensure both systems score identically.

Step 4: Training & Optimization (Week 5+) We train sales on how to read Marketo data in HubSpot. We train marketing on sales feedback. We monitor conversion metrics and adjust the model based on real performance data.

What to Expect

8-12 weeks

Timeline for typical integration

Design, build, test, training, and launch complete

Potential Improvement

MQL-to-SQL conversion rates

When lead scoring is properly aligned and both teams trust the model, results vary by industry and current baseline

Real Outcomes

- **Sales accepts more leads:** When they understand why a lead is marked "hot," they trust the list
- **MQL quality improves:** Conflicting scores disappear. Sales conversion rates rise.
- **Marketing stops wasting sends:** They know which leads are already customers or have been rejected
- **Sales and marketing alignment:** Instead of blaming each other, they use data to improve the model
- **Lead velocity improves:** Faster movement through stages because everyone agrees on readiness criteria
- **Reporting becomes reliable:** One source of truth for lead status across both systems

Measuring Success

You'll know the integration is working when:

Team Behavior Changes

- **Sales trusts Marketo MQLs** because they can see the engagement data that backs up the score
- **Marketing understands sales feedback** and adjusts criteria based on actual conversion data
- **No more manual score overrides** because the model is accurate and both teams agree on it
- **Leads move through stages predictably** based on data, not gut feel
- **No "lost" leads** between systems because lifecycle stage stays in sync

Key Performance Indicators

Metric	How to Measure
MQL-to-SQL conversion	% of Marketo MQLs that become HubSpot SQLs. Target: 25-40% depending on industry
SQL-to-Opportunity conversion	% of SQLs that result in a deal created. Target: 30-50%
Lead velocity	Average days from lead creation to MQL, MQL to SQL, SQL to Opportunity. Target: Improving month over month
Sales acceptance rate (SAR)	% of MQLs that sales actually works. Target: 85%+ (leads to trust)
Lead recycle rate	% of lost deals that re-enter nurture. Target: 20-40% (opportunity for second chances)
Score accuracy by stage	Avg score of leads that convert vs. leads that don't. Target: Clear separation between stages
Duplicate nurture touches	Emails sent to customers or already-rejected leads. Target: Approaching zero

Ready to Stop Wasting Sales Time on Wrong Leads?

Align your Marketo and HubSpot lead scoring. Give sales leads they can trust. Give marketing feedback they can act on. Build a unified view of lead readiness across both systems.

Schedule a Consultation

Integrate IQ

HubSpot Diamond Solutions Partner | Custom Integrations & CRM Migrations

Contact Us

Phone: (866) 293-4873, option 1

Email: support@integrateiq.com

Website: www.integrateiq.com